G. R. S. 58]
Previous references.

File No. 20-12

1912.

Serial Nos. 1-16

(Legislation)

Later references.

Legis 4-13-(1-4)7
4-13 (5-11)B

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-16

Part B for November 14

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Mr S. Rama Rao, Andaneol, Karur Taluq, Trichinopoly Dt., against the order of the Jaghirdar of Yelandur re certain arrears of annuity due to his late adoptive mother

---

1 No — dated 2/10/12
from Mr S. Rama Rao, Andankoil
States that Rs 3400 is still due to him from the yelandur Jahgir as arrears of annuity due to his deceased mother and appeals against the order of the Jahgirdar of Yelandur who has rejected his petition as barred by Limitation

2 No J 1647 dated 7/12/12
do Narasinga Rao Pantulu Esqr. Jahgirdar of Yelandur
Invites his attention to his endorsement No 401 dated 17/9/12 issued on S. Rama Rao of Andaneol rejecting his claim for the arrears of annuity due to his deceased mother as being time barred and ineffectual and requesting him to forward his [illegible], if any, in the matter

3 No 1876 dated 10/12/12
From the Jahgirdar of Jelandur
Requests that a copy of the appeal of S. Rama Rao to Govt in the matter may be furnished to him for reference and suitable reply

4 No 1876 dated 7/1/13
To Narasinga Rao Punnaya Esq. B.A.
Jahgirdar of Jelandur
Forwards a copy of the appeal made by Mr Rama Rao (1)

No 2007 dated 16/1/13
5 From Narasinga Rao Punnaya Esq
Requests that a copy of Mr Rama Rao's memorial of 1896, the then Jahgirdar's letter thereon to Govt and of the endorsement granted to him by Govt all referred to in para 1 and 2 of his ~~petition~~ memorial petition to Govt may be supplied to him

No 2168 dated 28/1/13
6 To S. Rama Rao Esq of Ardaneol
Requests him to forward a copy of the memorial of 1871, addressed to the then Dewan of Mysore as well as that of the letter addressed to the Govt by the Jahgirdar, if any that memorial.

7. No. — dated 3rd Feb: 1913
From S. Rama Rao Esq of Ardaneol
Submits copies of the memorials addressed by him to the then Dewan of Mysore in the matter and informs that he has not in his possession a copy of any letter addressed to Govt by the Jahgirdar

8. No 2350 dated 12/2/13
To Narasinga Rao Punnaya Esq
Forwards copies of the 4 memorials (one in Canarese and three in English) submitted by Mr S. Rama Rao of ~~the~~ 1874, 1895

G.R.S. 11]

1912 - 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Legs)

NOTES.

File No. 20 - 12

FILE HEADING.

Appeal of S. Rama Rao, Andankoil, Karur Taluk, Trichinopoly Dt., against the order of the Jahgirdar of Jelandur re certain arrears of annuity due to his late adoptive mother

From S. Rama Rao Esqr Andankoil, Karur Taluk, Trichinopoly Dt.

No. Dated 2/10/1912 Received 7/10/12 12/10/12

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
J 1050	2	—	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that Rs 3400 is still due to him from the Jelandur Jahgir as arrears of annuity due to his deceased mother and appeals against the order of the Jahgirdar of Jelandur who has rejected his petition as barred by limitation

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. Sewards order on the petition

"Secretary. To be worked up and circulated among to comment.

Init S.A.R.
7/10/12

2 " Rs III " Init K.R.S. 11/10/12

Init B.J.K.
12/10/12

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—27-6-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 24 of 1912.

Serial No.

3 Submitted with a copy of the Yelandur Jahgir Regn and the Mysore Limitation Regn and the civil Courts Regn.

As the Jahgirdar is not a court within the meaning of the Civil ~~Mysore Law~~ courts Regulation, ~~and therefore~~ the Mysore Limitation Regn does not apply to applications presented to the Jahgirdar under the Yelandur ~~Jahgirdar~~ Jahgir Regn

(5-8) SW(11) 11/11/12 12/11/12

4 Perhaps we may ask the Jahagirdar to send up in writing what he has got to say in the matter.

The present application has to be regarded as an appeal under Sec 5 (3) of the Jahagir Regulation

12/11/12

5 Sec 7) 1098 Sd Commissioner

22 25/11

25/11/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.

6 Ask the Jahgirdar to give his explⁿ if necessary, the case will have to be regularly heard as an appeal serving notice to Petr & Jagh. & Jahgirdar.

26/11/12

27/11

7

Legis 28/11

29/11/12

8. Submitted with a draft to the Jahgirdar of yelandur

(S.S) SW (11)

29/11/12

9. Please make a demi-official to the Collr to ask the Jahgirdar to give his explⁿ if any, in writing, as to why the case should not be heard as an appeal.

10 (Please note the Collr's order about hearing the case)

11. (*) A notice will be issued to respdts if specially ordered

30/11/12

Subd with a clean draft

2/12/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3/12/12

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

3/12/12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Logos File No. 20 of 1912–13.

Serial No.

be furnished There seems to be no objn

17/1/13

17. Copy may be sent.

24/12/12

23

27/12

Submitted

18. Orders are solicited on the private question whether the Gahgirdar of Ogelandur may be asked to send the copying fees for furnishing him with a copy of the petition of D. Rama Rao of Andaroil.

(SB)
27/12/12

19 No - Send a copy

31/12/12

20 Submitted with a draft letter to the Gahgirdar

(SB)
31/1/13 SW(11)

21

31/1/13

9-1-13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIL—65,000—17-6-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Leger File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
4	No J 1876 dated 7/1/13 Do Narasinga Rao Punnaiya Esqr, B.A. Jahgirdar of Jelandur Forwards a copy of the appn made by Mr Rama Rao (Sl.1)
5	No 2007 dated 16/1/13 From Narasinga Rao Punnaiya Esqr B.A. Jahgirdar of Jelandur Requests that a copy of the Rama Rao memorial of 1894, the then Jahgirdar letter thereon to Govt and of the endorsement granted to him by Govt as referred to in paras 1 and 2 of his presn petition to Govt may be supplied to him. Submitted for orders 22 The file containing the petition of 1894 and the endorsement thereto is not traceable by either the GL Records or the Vernacular Records (Please see the routine note by them. The petitioner has so enclosed a copy of the endorsement granted to him in 1894. May he be asked to send a copy of the his memorial of 1894 referred to by him (38) JW(11) 22/1/13 23/1/13 Yes Put up Draft SDR 23/1/13

Flag X

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1807

7

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Legis File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
24	Submitted with a draft CS (SS), SN 24/1/13 25 Issue SPR 24.1.13
	Issued 28-1-13
6	No J 2168 dated 28/1/13 to S. Rama Rao Esqr., Ardamail, Karur Taluk, Trichinopoly Dt. Requesting him to forward a copy of the Memorials of 1896 addressed to the then Dewan of Mysore as well as that of the letter addressed to the Govt by the Jahgirdar, if any, on that memorial.
7	No — dated 3rd Feb: 1913 From S. Rama Rao Esqr., Ardamail Submits copies of the memorials addressed by him to the then Dewan of Mysore in the matter and informs that he has not in his possession a copy of any letter addressed to Govt by the Jahgirdar
26	Submitted with a draft forwarding the copies of the memorials now received to the Jahgirdar of Yelandur and informing him ~~of the receipt of the said letter~~ that copies of the letters addressed to Govt by the then

2108.

8

2799

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
	Jahgirdar of yelandur in the matter are not available (58) SM 7/4/13 7/4/13 Issue SM 8/2
8	No J 2350 dated 12/2/13 To Narasinga Rao Punnaiya Esqr B.A. Jahgirdar of yelandur Forwards copies of the 4 memorials (one in Tamil and three in English) submitted by Mr S. Rama Rao of Arakandoil to the Dewan of Mysore in 1894 and 1895 in the matter.
9	No 2243 dated 3/4/13 From Narasinga Rao Punnaiya Esqr B.A. Jahgirdar of yelandur submits his explanation and informs that there is nothing due to the petitioner Submitted (58) SM 16/4/13 17/4 As ordered by the Cr in page 3 of the notes notices will have to be issued to both the parties about the hearing of the appeal, if considered necessary

Bring with Jagir Regr SM 18/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Recd 5.5pm

G I L—65,000—17-6-12.

Dy Secy

SM 19/4

2799

9

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 20 of 1912-3

Serial No. 27)

Resubmitted with reference to para 6 containing the 1st Counsellor's dissenting opinion.

1st Counsellor

Drm 21/4

SPR 21/4

28) Ask the petitioner to appear & show cause why his petition should not be rejected as time barred.

21/4/13

Drm 22/4

Draft SPR 22/4

Submitted

29) To enable a draft being prepared orders are solicited on the following points

1) Before whom has the petitioner to be asked to present himself and

2) ~~before~~ on what date should he so appear

3) May a notice be served on the Jahgirdar also to appear that day so that he may contest the arguments that might be advanced by the petitioner

(58) SR 24/4/13 24/4/13 Govt. 30)

(1) Before (Govt. Council)

(2) A date may kindly be fixed

(3) Does not seem necessary. Notice may be given to him after the appeal is admitted

Dr Sec'y SPR 25/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

10

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

445 File No. 20 of 1912-13.

Serial No.	
31	The Notice will require the petr to appear before Govt (para 28); but a date may be fixed with reference to the commencement of the 1st Consultation. Draft agd 26/4 SPM 26/4
32	26th May - 3 PM (He may be asked to appear if he wishes to show cause) 28/4/13
33	Draft accd SPM 30/4
34	445 Submitted with a draft as ordered (58) CW 1/5/13
35	Issue SPM 3/5
IV 3/5 10	No J 3374 dated 6/5/1913 to S. Rama Rao Esqr Anduneni Informs that he should appear before Govt on the 26th May 1913 at 3 P.M. to show cause why his petition should not be rejected as time barred.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

M. Subd: to the Secretary

The First Councellor decrees that the case of Mr S. Rama Rau one of the annuitants of the Gyandur Jehelin, which had been posted to 26th inst for hearing may be postponed to 23rd June 1913.

MSS 16/5/13

37. B 111

16/5 June 1913

MSS

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—25,000—23-7-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
38	Submitted with a draft to the petitioner as ordered. (58) SN 17/5/13 19/5
39	Issue today SPK 19/5
11	No. J 3560 dated 19/5/13 To S Rama Rao Esqr., Andanoor Informs that the hearing of the case stands adjourned from 26/5/13 to ~~23/6/13~~ 23/6/13 Submitted for orders whether the hearing is to be further adjourned or the hearing will be held on the 23rd inst. at Bangalore. (58) 17/6/13 41.9 consulted the Cr. & he said that no change in the date was necessary. Bring up on the 22nd SPK 17/6 Mysore
	Subd SN 21/6/13
12	No. — dated 23/6/13 From C. Krishnamurthi Esqr. Advocate Presents a Vakalatnama executed by Mr. S. Rama Rao of Yelandur Jahgir

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.

annexure

42 Asst. Secretary's orders on the Vakalatnama

"Presented today in person

(Init'd) S.P.R. 23/6/13

"Requests that notices about ~~adjournment~~ adjourned dates may be given to him instead of to the party"

(Init'd) S.P.R. 23/6/13

43. Submitted

Orders of the 1st Councillor have to be obtained as to the next date for which the hearing has to be adjourned

(S8) SW

23/6/13

44. Another date may now be fixed. As requested by the party, the notice will be served upon his advocate Mr. C. Krishna Murthy in person

1st Cr.

SIOM 23/6

45 Legis 14th July 1913

The 1st Cr has directed that the date can not be heard on 14th July 1913 Please prepare a draft notice for appln

SW 8/7/13

46 Submit with a draft notice to Mr C. Krishnamurthy Advocate

(S8) SW

8/7/13

47. Issue

SIOM 8/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 20 of 1912 13

Serial No.

13 No J 79 dated 8/7/13
To C. Krishnamurthy Esq^r
Informs him that the case of
Mr S. Rama Rao of Anjaneam
has been posted for hearing
on the 14th inst^o

48 Submitted The party has appeared
(58) SW represented by Mr C. Krishnamurthy
14/7/13 14/7/13

49 Adj^d 3 weeks to enable
the Mukhtar to show that
law of Limitation does not apply

14/7/13

50 Office Bring up after 3 weeks
SPR 14/7

51 (11)

14 Letter dated 28/7/13
From Mr C. Krishnamurthy
Advocate, requesting that
Mr Rama Rao's case may be
placed again before the 1st committee
on the 4th Aug: 1913.

51 Submitted for orders
(58) SW
28/7/13

52) Post some day on which I have no other work at 3 p.m.

1st Aug SPR 28/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

29/7/13 Issue notice

53) Issue Notice to Advocate SPR 31/7 31/7

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

Serial No.

54) Subd with a draft as ordered informing the Advocate that the case will be heard on the 4th August 1913

(sd) SW
3/8/13

55) Issue + intimate to 1st Cri Personal Asst for note

spl 2/8

Sl. 15.
J 347 J 2/8/13
To C. Krishnamurthy Advocate, Bangalore
Informing him that the case will be heard on 4/8/13 at 3 P.M.

56) Mem. C. Kr. p- for Petrs

57) Mr. Padmanabhachar a High Court Vakil appears for Petrs with a General Power of attorney as he is not an enrolled Advocate here

The Gl power of attorney is inspected & returned to the party, as enacted by 1st Asst
spl 4/8

58) This is not quite regular but the irregularity is condoned for the day to save time,

59) Limn does not apply
~~26 dd~~ 21 M. 134

60) Order -

The Main Contention It is admitted that the claim

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

relates to arrears due for the year 1890. The Pet^rs Contention is that the law of limitation does not apply to ~~the~~ it, as it is based on a special law i.e. Yalandur Jahgir Regulation, and that a ~~the~~ proceeding whether before the Jahgirdar under Sec. or before Govt under S-5 of the said Reg^n is not a suit & a Court, ~~and that the Pr~~ as stated in the preamble to the Regulation.

(61) The wording of the Preamble accg to a well known rule of law does not operate to limit the extent of application of the provns of an enactment. But even ~~so, that~~ if it were otherwise, the word Court is not confined in its meaning to a civil Court, and any tribunal which has to adjudi

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-1

(17

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

Cale on rights of property &c will become a Court Even thus terms kept out in S.3 of the Limitation Regulation, where it is laid down that every suit instituted &c after the period of limitation prescribed therefor, shall be dismissed The claim made to the Jahgirdar or the appeal to Govt under S.5 of the Y. Jahgir Regn must be taken as a suit in this case. Under Section 28 of the Limitation Regulation, the right to the property is extinguished after the prescribed period of limitation is over (2) ~~the effect of~~ ~~remon~~ There can be no doubt about this being a claim ~~for property under a civil right~~ or proceeding of a 'civil nature' in the sense of the Civil Courts

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

Regulation. The jurisdiction of Civil Courts to try such a claim is taken away by the Yalandur Jahgir Regn but this exception shd operate only to the extent ~~of the words~~ of the literal import of its words, and cannot be construed as taking away other limitations attaching to the enforcement of the claim S. 29 of the Limitation would apply to the case if the Special Regulation ~~created~~ contained any ~~fresh~~ provisions about periods of limitation. As it has no such provns, the general law is clearly applicable The claim of the pltffs being ~~barred~~ thus barred his appeal is hereby dismissed

11/8/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

J. R. S. 12]

344

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Legs File No. 20 of 1912-13

Serial No.

16 No. J 1240-1 dated 21/10/13
to S. Rama Rao Esq., Andaman
Orders that as the Jalandar
Jahgir Regn, does not specially provide
for limitation the Limitation Regn applies
to all cases under the Regn, and therefore
the claim is barred by time
Submitted

69 In the file there is a general power
Flor N of attorney on which there is an
endorsement to say that it was
presented by Mr Rama Rao and that
it is returned to the Party. It has
not been so returned. May this be
returned now. A draft letter forwarding
the same is herewith put up.

(48)
11/11/13 70 [illegible]

B
15/11

17 To S. Rama Rao Esq. Andaman
Karen Bank Treasury &c.
No. J 1480 dt. 15.11.13.
Returns the Original power of
attorney.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—25-9-13.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 7/10/12
Pétn. Regr. No 4858

TO

DIARY No. 1050.

T. ANANDA RAO ESQR., B. A., C. I. E.,

10/2-1913
SECTION Legis
FILE No. 20-12
SERIAL No. 1

DEWAN OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE.

RESPECTED AND HONOURED SIR,

The humble petition of S. Rama Rao, 4th branch Dewan Purnaya's family of the Yelandur Jaghir, (residing at Andancoil, Karur Taluk, Trichinopoly District) most respectfully showeth :—

1. That in 1894 this annuitant memorialised the then Dewan of Mysore praying that a sum of Rs. 4900 being arrears of annuities due to his deceased mother and himself by the Jaghirdar of Yelandur to him, then might be directed to be paid to the petitioner without delay.

2. That in reply to the above mentioned memorial the present petitioner received official memorandum No. 35/D. H. O. dated Mysore camp 26th November 1894. (Copy of which is herewith submitted) stating that he is reported to have been negligent and directing him to apply to the Jaghirdar of Yelandur in person or in writing.

3. The petitioner humbly begs to state that he submitted the above mentioned memorial only after having made repeated applications to the late Jaghirdar in vain. And after receipt of the above official memorandum petitioner applied again in person and in writing for payment of the balance due to him.

4. The late Jaghirdar paid soon after out of the balance of Rs. 4900 due to the petitioner the sum of Rs. 1200 being arrears of annuity due on petitioners own account leaving a balance of Rs. 3700 due on his deceased mothers account.

5. Again in 1907 a payment of Rs. 300 was made to the petitioner towards this balance.

6. The petitioner was being constantly told by the late Jaghirdar that he would pay over to him the sum of Rs. 3400 thus left due to him but he did not do so till his death and on account of the exalted position of the late Jaghirdar, the petitioner could not press him.

7. The petitioner had submitted a petition to the above effect to the present Jaghirdar of Yelandur dated 10-9-12 & the endorsement letter is appended herewith.

8. The present Jaghirdar of Yelandur seems to think that the petition is time barred, but this being purely a family arrangement which cannot go to a Civil Court of Law according to the Yelandur Jaghir Regulation Section 5, the petitioner begs to submit that it is not barred by limitation.

9. Moreover, the late Jaghirdar of Yelandur Sir P.N. Krishnamurti has paid Rs. 300 in respect of the arrears in 1907. In these circumstances it is unreasonable for the present Jaghirdar of Yelandur to have simply rejected the petition as time barred.

10. So the petitioner most respectfully prays that the balance of Rs. 3400 together with interest up to date of payment might be kindly directed to be paid to him at an early date from the Jaghir. For which act of kindness he shall ever be greateful.

The petitioner begs to remain,

Respected & Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Rama Rao

ANDANCOIL,
2—10—1912.

Enc to Legis 20-12-1

(3)

Office of the Dewan of Mysore,

Dated, Camp Mysore, 26th November 1894.

No. 35/D. H. O.

Official Memorandum.

In reply to his petition dated 28th October 1894, Rama Rao, an annuitant of the Yelandur Jaghir, is informed that he is reported to have been negligent in applying to the Yelandur Jaghirdar for the annuity due to himself and his mother under the Yelandur Jaghir Regulation and that he should apply to the Jaghirdar in person or in writing for the payment of any annuity remaining unpaid.

By Order

(Sd.) **Srikantesvaraiya,**

for Chief Secretary.

To

RAMA RAO,

Yelandur Jaghir annuitant,

Karur.

Encl to Letter 20-12-1 (4

No. 401. **Office of the Jaghirdar of Yelandur,**

Dated Camp Tumkur 17th September 1912.

To

S. Rama Rao Esqr.,

IV Branch Dewan Purnaya's family,

Andancoil, Karur Taluk.

Adverting to his petition registered No. 45 dated 10th September 1912 in the matter of certain arrears of annuity due to his late adoptive mother has the honor to inform him that the present Jaghirdar asked him when he represented his case orally at Tumkur on the 18th August 1912 to reduce it into writing which he promised would be considered and that on perusal of the said petition, the Jaghirdar considers the claim clearly time barred and ineffective. The Jaghirdar therefore regrets that he is unable to do anything in the matter.

(Sd.) **Narasinga Rao Purnaya,**

Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

5/12/12 Fair-draft Legs 5

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 20-12

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 1647

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Legn)

, *the* 19

To

Esq

Narasingarao Punaiya

Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

Date of issue 7-12-12

Signed by mm S. Srinivasaraya

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to your endorsement No 401 dated the 17th September 1912 issued to one S. Rama Rao, Andancot, an annuitant of the Yelandur Jaghir, rejecting his claim for certain arrears of annuity due to his late adoptive mother

as
over.

SUBJECT.

being time barred and in.
effectual and to request you
to be so good as to furnish
your explanation, if any in the matter

I have to

Sir

Yr Obt Servt

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

III
11/12 av

Yelp

No 1874

DIARY No. J 1599

Office of the Jaghirdar of Yrlandur
Dated Bangalore 10 December 1912

7

1912-1913
SECTION Legis
FILE No. 20-12
SERIAL No. 3

From,

Rao Bahadur Purnaiya, Esquire B.A.
Jaghirdar of Yrlandur
Bangalore

To,

K. R. Srinivasaraghavan, Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of H. Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J. 1647/Legis 20. 12. 2 dated 7th December 1912 regarding my explanation, if any, in the matter of Mr. Andan Coil Rama Rao's claim for certain arrears of Annuity due to his late adoptive mother, I have the honor to request that you may be so good as to furnish a copy of his application to Government in the matter, if any, for reference and needful reply.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Narasimha Rao

Jaghirdar of Yrlandur

V 411

8

G.R.S. 26]

Legis File No. 20-1/2
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to J 1529

No. J 1876 Encl 1

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19

To
Narasinga Rao Purnaiya Esq B.A.
Jahgirdar of Gelandur
Bangalore

Date of issue 7.1.13

Signed by Wm S. Horsley amaraya

Sir

As desired in ~~With reference to~~ your letter No 1876 dated 10th December 1912 I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the appn made by Mr Rama Rao of ~~Anand~~ Andancoil in the matter of his claim for certain

Flag R

over.

SUBJECT.

arrears of annuity due to
his late adoptive mother
and to request you to
kindly expedite the your
explanation if any
in the matter

I have &c.

for Secretary to Govt

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

III
14/1/1913

Office of the Jahagirdar of Yelandur
Dated, Bangalore
14th January 1913

No 200 y. Ex

DIARY No. 1807
19/2-10 13
SECTION Legis
FILE No. 20-12
SERIAL No. 5

From

Narasinga Rao Purnaya Esquire B.A.
Jaghirdar of Yelandur
Bangalore

To

K R. Sreenivasiengar Esquire M.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Revenue and
General Departments
Bangalore

Sir

While thanking you for your letter no. y 1876/Legis 20—12 dated 7th January 1913 and the enclosure thereof I request that you may be so good as to send me for reference a copy of Mr. Andaneol Rama Rao's memorial of 1894 addressed to the Dewan of Mysore referred to in para 1 of his application to Government dated 2nd October 1912 as also of the then Jaghirdar's letter thereon to Government and of the endorsement granted to the memorialist Mr A. Rama Rao adverted to in para 2 of

the aforesaid application I regret to have to trouble you for these references as all old references on the subject are not at present readily available here.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

Harasingh Kanbarmanya

Raghinder of Pratapgarh.

1½ + M 1-13 12

G. R. S. 26]

Legis File No. 20-12

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to.

No. J 2168 Legis 20-12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 25-1-13

Signed by for S. P. Rajagopalachar

To

S. Rama Rao Esq.

Amanuensis of the

Zetandar Jahgir

Andanvil

Karur Taluk, Trichi-

nopoly Dt.

Sir

With reference to

your petition dated

addressed to the Dewan of Mysore

2/10/1912 re your

claim to the arrears

of annuity due to

your deceased mother

over.

SUBJECT.

I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward to this Office for reference a copy of your memorial of 1894 ~~in 1894~~ addressed to the then Dewan of Mysore in the matter ~~and~~ as well as a Copy of the letter addressed to the Govt by the Jaghirdars ~~referred to in any part~~ in ~~of your present petition~~ on the subject of memorial

I have &c

SPL

for Secretary to Govt.

Draft SH
Chks & Compd
24/1/13

List of enclosures.

Copied by KB 25/1/13

Examined by SH

G1 B - 2,000—8-8-11.

14

DIARY No. 4..2108

1912-13
SECTION Legis
FILE No. 20-12
SERIAL No. 67

From

S. Rama Row,

Andancoil, Karur Taluq,

Trichinopoly District.

To,

The Secretary

to the Government of H.H. the Maha Raja

Of Mysore,

General and Revenue Department.

BANGALORE.

Sir,

As directed in Your Honour's letter No. J.2168/Legis.20-12. dated 28th January 1913. regarding arrears of my deceased mother's annuity due to me by the Jaghirdar of Yelandur. I beg to submit herewith copies of the memorials addressed by me to the then Dewan of Mysore but I beg to state that I am not in possession of copy of any letter addressed by the Jaghirdar to the Government on the subject.

Andancoil
3rd Febu 1913.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Rama Rao

15

G. R. S. 26]

Legis File No. 20-1

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 2850 Encl 4.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

To

Narasinga Rao Pursuing
Esqr. B. A.
Jahgirdar of Yelandur
Bangalore

Date of issue 12-2-13

Signed by Hon S.P. Rajagopalachar

Sir

With reference to your letter No 2007 dated 16th January 1913, I am directed to forward herewith copies of the 4 memorials ~~submitted~~ (one in tamil and three in english) submitted by Mr S. Rama Rao of Andanoor, to the Dewan

over.

* To be kindly returned when done with.

SUBJECT.

of Mysore in 1896 and 1897
in the matter of arrears of
annuity due to him and
to his deceased ~~wife~~ mother
I am to add that ~~copies~~
~~of~~ the letters, addressed
to Govt by the then Jaigirdar,
in the matter are not
~~readily~~ forthcoming in this
available
Office

I have &c.

for Offg Secretary to Govt

SN

List of enclosures.

Copied by [illegible]

Examined by [illegible]

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

No 2243 Encls 4

J 2799

17



From

Narasinga Rao Purnaiya, Esq, B.A.

Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

To

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar Esq, M.A.

Secratary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, Genl and Rev.Depts.

Bangalore Dated the 3rd April 1913

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J.1647-Legis-20-12-2 dated 7th December 1912 and subsequent reminders thereto in the matter of certain arrears of annuity claimed by Mr.S.Rama Rao of Andancoil as being due to his late mother, I have the honor to state in the first place that I am very much handicapped in the matter of Jaghir records which at present are lying locked up in receptacles in the joint custody of a few persons. I therefore regret that I am not in a position to quote, wherever necessary, definite and accurate references to previous correspondence on the subject. My present reply is mainly based on some scanty facts and figures available in in the Yelandur Taluk Office as also on some points referred to by yhe petitioner himself in his applications and memorials to Government.

2. The story of the petitioner may be summarised thus:-That his late adoptive mother, Venkamma, died on 2nd January 1891 and that out of her annuity of Rs 7,000 for 1890 she had been paid a sum of Rs 3900 (i.e. Rs 3100 in four instalments and Rs 800 by money order in eight monthly remittances of Rs 100 each) leaving thus a balance of Rs 3100 yet due to her on her demise. (vide paras 2, 3 and 4 of his Tamil petition dated 19th July 1894, copy whereof is herein enclosed) In para 6 of the same petition he added that consequent upon his mother's death in January 1891 he had to get on his own account

18

account Rs 600 for six months from January to June making thus a total sum of Rs 3,700. But in para 1 of his petition dated 2nd October 1912 addressed to the Dewan he has stated that in 1894 he memorialised the then Dewan of Mysore praying that a sum of Rs 4900 being arrears of annuities due to his deceased mother and himself by the Jaghirdar might be directed to be paid to the petitioner. It is difficult to understand how his claim for Rs 3700 as set forth in his Tamil petition of July 1894 referred to above sud denly swelled up to Rs 4900 later in October 1894. Again in para 4 of the same petition he adds that out of RS 4900 thus due to him the sum of Rs 1200 was paid to him in adjustment of his own annuit "leaving a balance of Rs 3700 due on his deceased mother's account" which according to his own previous version as contained in paras 4and 6 of the Tamil petition was partly due to his mother's account (Rs 3100) and partly to his own (Rs 600). To pay the annuitants their dues from July to June has been a long recognised custom. If so,how Mr Rama Rao could claim the full annuity of 7000 in behalf of his mother,who as alleged by him died on the 21st January and also claim Rs 600 for himself is difficult to account for unless it could be supposed that Venkamma was paid her annuity according to the calendar year which was not the case. So the probability of the case was that Venkamma who received Rs 3900 from the late Jaghirdar must have beeh paid in full from 1st July 1890 till the last day of her demise from 1st July 1890 to 21st January 1891.As he has clearly stated that arrears of his own personal annuity -- have all been paid up to him he is estopped from urging them now. There is yet another instance in which Mr. Rama Rao has made an - erroneous statement. In para 5 of his petition of 2nd October last he says that in 1907 a payment of Rs 300 was made to the petitioner towards his alleged balance of Rs 3700 due to his mother. This is absolutely incorrect as the fact was that during 1906-1907 he was paid Rs 1500 in advance with clear instructions from the Jaghirdar to the Taluk (vide his order No 1181 dated 1st June 1907) that out-- of rs 1500 so paid a sum of Rs 1200 was to be adjusted with the - annuity of Rs 1200 for 1906-07, the balance of Rs300 being ordered

Encl to Legis 20-12-9

மகா-ரா-ரா-ஸ்ரீ மகாகனம் பொருந்திய மைசூர் திவானவர்கள் திவ்ய சமுகத்துக்கு.

கோயமுத்தூர் ஜில்லா கரூர் தாலூகா ஆண்டாங்கோவிலிலிருக்கும் மைசூர் மாஜி திவான் பூர்ணய்யரவர்கள் குடும்பத்துக்குச் சேர்ந்த S. இராமராவ் எழுதிக்கொண்ட அதேக தண்டனிட்டு விண்ணப்பம்.

1. நான் எளந்தூர் ஜாகீர் ரிகியூலேஷனில் கண்ட 4-வது பிரிவுக்குச்சேர்ந்தவன்.

2. என்னுடைய தாயார் 1891வது ஜனவரிமீ 21உ தினம் இறந்துபோனர்.

3. என் தாயார் அவர்களுக்கு ஷி ரிகியூலேஷன்படி 1890வுத்து அனியூடி கிடைக்க வேண்டியது. ரூபாய் 7000—0—0.

4. அதை நான் ஷி ஜாகீர்தாரவர்களே நான் நேரில் வந்து அநேக தடவைகளில் கேட்டதில் 4 தடவைகளில் 3100—0—0 ரூபாயும் என் மாதோஸ்திரியாரவர்களிருக்கும்போது செலவுக்காக அட்வான்சு மாதம் 1-க்கு 100 ரூபாய் வீதம் மணியார்டர் அனுப்பின வகையில் ரூபாய் 800—0—0 ஆக ரூபாய் 3900—0—0 கொடுத்திருக்கிறார்கள். பாக்கி ரூ. 3100-0-0 வரவேணும்.

5. நான் ஷி பாக்கி ரூபாயை அநேக தடவைகளில் கேட்டதில் கொடுக்கிறேன் என்று வீண் சாக்கு சொல்லிக்கொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகையினல் தயவுசெய்து இந்த பாக்கியை எடுத்துக்கொடுக்க உத்திரவாகவேணும்.

6. என் தாயாரவர்கள் ஜனவரிமீத்தில் இறந்துபோனர் ஜூன்மீ ஜூன்மீ ஒரு வருஷம் கணக்கு வைத்துக்கொண்டு என் அனியூடி கொடுத்துக்கொண்டிருக்கிறார் இதில் எனக்கு 6 மாதத்துக்கு 600 ரூபாய் கிடைக்கவேணும்.

7. என்னுடைய அனியூடி ரூபாய்க்கு நான் ஊரிலிருந்து கடிதம் எழுதினல் பதில் அனுப்புகிறதில்லே. இவ்விடத்துக்கு ரூபாய் செலவழித்துக்கொண்டு வந்து கேட்டால் 10, 15 நாள் அலேக்கழித்து விட்டு எளந்தூர் தாலூகா கஜானவுக்கு செக்கொடுக்கிறார்கள். இதனல் எனக்கு வரப்பட்ட ரூபாயில் பாதி வழிச்செலவாகிறதும் தவிர வருஷாவருஷம் ரொம்ப தொந்தரவும் நஷ்டமாகிறது.

8. ஆகையினல் என் அனியூடி ரூபாயை மாதம் மாதம் 100 ரூபாய் நான் இருக்கிற இடத்துக்கே அனுப்பிக்கொடுக்க உத்திரவாகவேணும்.

9. அல்லது ஷி என் அனியூடி ரூபாயை மைசூர் சர்க்கார்க்கு தருவித்துக்கொண்டு சர்க்கார் மூலமாய் எனக்கு அனுப்பிக்கொடுக்க உத்திரவுசெய்யவேணும்.

10. ஆகையினல் தர்ம துரையவர்கள் ஏழையின்பேரில் பரிபூர்ண இருபைகூர்ந்து ஷி என் கஷ்டங்களே நிவர்த்திசெய்து கொடுத்து என்னே காப்பாற்றவேணுமாய்ப் பிரார்த்தித்து அநேக வந்தனத்துடன் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.

இப்படிக்கு,
தங்கள் சேவகன்,
(Sd). **S. Rama Row,**

பெங்களூர்,
19th July. 1894.

S. RAMA RAO,
4th Branch,
Dewan Purnayy's family,
Andancoil,
COIMBATORE DIST.

(True Copy).

Encl to Legis 20-12-9

21

Andan Kovil,

25th December 1894.

From

S. Rama Row,

Yelandur Jaghir Family,

Andan Kovil, Karur.

Coimbatore District.

To

Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer, K.C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore.

Most Respected Sir,

In obedience to your honour's kind endorsement No.35/D.O., dated Mysore 26th Nov. 1894. I beg respectfully to report that I have applied as instructed to the Jaghirdar of Yelandur on the 29th Nov. 1894 and even telegraphed to him twice but to my great misfortune neither any reply nor annuity received from him upto date and in consequence I am put to much loss. I therefore hurbly beg to request your honour will be graciously pleased to issue him necessary instructions for my early payments to me. For which act of kindness I shall be ever grateful.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant,

(Sd.) S. Rama Row.

(TRUE COPY.)

Encl to Legn 20-12-97

To

Sir K. Seshadri Iyer, K.C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore.

Most Respected Sir,

With reference to your honour's official Memorandum No.35/D.O., dated Mysore, 26th Nov. 1894. I applied to the Jaghirdar of Yelandur personally more than twice and by three registered letters dated, 29th Nov. 1894, 13th Feb. 1895, and 7th March 1895, copies of which with Postal acknowledgement here with submitted. He was pleased to settle only the current annuities due to me and not the arrears of my deceased mother's due to me Rs.3700/-. I therefore pray your honour will be kind enough to take my case into favourable consideration and direct the settlement of my accounts at your honour's earliest convenience.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) S. Rama Row.

Yelandur Jaghir Family,
Andan Koil, Karur Taluq,
Coimbatore District.

Andan Koil,
31st July 1895.

(TRUE COPY.)

Encl to Legis 20-12-9

To

Sir. K. Seshadri Iyer, K.C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore.

Most Honoured Sir,

In reference to my application dated 31st July 1895, in the arrears of my mother's annuity due to me from the Hon'ble P.N. Krishna Murthi Avl., I beg with profound respect to again bring to your notice that it has not been paid yet though repeatedly asked for. I earnestly pray that you will be pleased to direct its payments. I need not say that owing to the delay in its payments, I have been thrown into very great difficulties. I only look to you for a helping hand. It is indeed very misfortune that the free flow of your mercy and sympathy finds its course checked towards me. Hoping and praying that you will be pleased to see the matter settled this time.

I beg to remain,

Most Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) S. Rama Rao.
Yelandur Jaghir Family,
Andancoil;
Karur Taluq,
Coimbatore

Andancoil,
22nd October 1895

(True Copy)

8/5/13

No J 8374
Recd 20-12-10

MYSORE GOVT. GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,
Dated Bangalore, 6 - 5 - 1913

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Mr. S. Rama Rao of the 4th Branch of Dewan Purnaya's Family of the Yelandur Jaghir and residing at Andanvil, Kanva ~~Mr~~ Taluk, Krishnapoly Dt

in reply to his Petition Registered No. J 1050 dated 2-10-1912 ~~addressed to the Durbar of Mysore~~ in the matter of certain arrears of annuity due from the Yelandur Jaghir to his deceased mother

Petitioner is informed that he ~~should~~ may appear before Government on the 26th May 1913 at 3 P M to show cause why his petition should not be rejected as time barred.

By Order,

for *Secretary.*

8/5/13

8/5/13

G.R.S. 26]

Legis File No. 20-12
Serial No. 11

Diary No. of letter replied to

Office Memo

No. J 3560

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19

~~To~~

In continuation of this office endorsement No J 3376 dated 6th May 1913 in the matter of certain arrears of annuity due from the zelaindar ~~late~~ Jaghir to his deceased mother, Mr S. Rama Rao Andancoil, Karur Taluk, Trichinopoly Dt. is informed that the hearing of the case ~~is fixed~~ (26th May 1913) is for to show cause why his petition should

over.

Date of issue 19.5.13

Signed by Mr S.P. Rajagopalachar

SUBJECT.

not be rejected as time
barred stands adjourned from the 26th May 1913
to the 23rd June 1913
~~at the same hour~~

By order

for Secretary to Govt

SW

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

Legis 20-12-12

DIARY No. J 3691

27

19/2-19/3

Legis 20-12-12

SERIAL No. 12

Before the Hon'ble H.H. the Maharaja's Govt.
(Legislative Dept.)

19 —19 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು.

In the matter of the application of
S. Ramakrishna vs Yalandur Jagir

ವಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

Applicant - S. Ramakrishna

Presented today in person
SPR 23/6/13.

Request that notice about adjourned date may be given to him instead of to the party
SPR 23/6

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್, ಸಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿಯವರಿಗೆ.

The applt. ಬರೆಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಸ್ಪೆಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡದು ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೋಸಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟದಸ್ತ್ಯೆವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ, ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 23.6.13 } ರುಜು. S Ramakrishna

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಜಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

G. R. S. 26]

Legis File No. 20-12

Serial No. 13

Diary No.. of letter replied to———

Office Memo

No. J 79

Legis 20-12-13

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

To

Mr. C. Krishnamurthy [redacted],

Advocate for Mr. S. Rama Rao

of Andamooil, Karur Taluk,

Date of issue 8.7.13

Signed by [illegible]

is hereby informed that the hearing of the case to shew cause why the petition of Mr. S. Rama Rao in the matter of certain arrears of annuity due from the ~~Zelandum~~ Zelandum Zamindari to his deceased mother should not be rejected as time barred, stands adjourned from 23rd June 1913

over.

SUBJECT.

to the 14th July 1913

By order

For Secretary to Govt

SN
8/7/13

To

C. Krishnamurthy Esqr.

Advocate

Bangalore.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by SN

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.



Dear Sir

I am directed to request you to
kindly state whether the
matter pleased against
in the Jamaica Council
on the 14th August 1913

Yours faithfully
Colonial Secretary

2
S.P. Kingston

Legis 20-12-13

G. R. S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Memorandum

No. J 347 Dy 2/8/13

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

To

Mr C. Krishnamurthy Esq
Advocate of Mr Rama
Rao of Andamooil is
informed that the
case regarding Mr Rama
Rao's claim to certain
arrears of annuity due
to his deceased mother from
the Zelandur Zahgeer
will be heard on the question
of limitation before
the 1st Councillor on
Monday the 4th inst. afn
3 P.M

By order

Date of issue 2/8/13

Signed by Mr SP Rajagopalachar

SUBJECT.

S/d

for Secretary to Govt

SW
1/8/13

To C. Krishnamurthy Esq
Advocate, Bangalore

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the extent of application of the provisions of an enactment. But even if it were otherwise, the word court is not confined in its meaning to a civil court, and any tribunal which has to adjudicate on rights of property &c will become a court. Even this term is left out in sec: 3 of the Limitation Regn:, where it is laid down that every suit instituted, appeal preferred, and application made, after the period of limitation prescribed therefor by the first schedule shall be dismissed.

The claim made to the Jahgirdar or the appeal to Govt under section 5 of the Jahgir Regulation must be taken as a

GPS—12,000—15-2-13.

suits in this case. Under section 28 of the Limitation Regulation, the right to the property is extinguished after the prescribed period of limitation is over

There can be no doubt about this being a claim or proceeding of a Civil nature in the sense of the Civil Courts Regulation. The jurisdiction of Civil courts to try any case a claim is taken away by the ~~Judgment~~ Legislature - Jafgir Case, 1885, but this exception should be strictly confined only to the extent of the literal import of its words, and cannot be construed as taking away other limitations attaching to the enforcement of the claim. S. 24 of the Limitation Act would apply to the case of the Special

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Regulation contained any provisions about periods of limitation. As it has no such provisions the general law is clearly applicable The claim of the petitioner being thus barred, his appeal is hereby dismissed.

Sd/ Secretary to Govt.

do.

S. Rama Rao Esq. of the 4th Branch of Desai Purnaiya family of the Yelandur Jahgir Andancoil, Kanva Taluk, Nichimpolly Dt.

The Jahgirdar of Yelandur Bangalore.

GPB—12,000—15-2-13.

37

G.R.S. 26] 12/11 344

Diary No. of letter replied to

File No. 20-12
Serial No. 17

No. 480.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 19

Date of issue 15.11.13

Signed by Sir S.P. Raja Gopala Chari

To

S. Rama Rao Esqr., Andanevoil
Karur Taluk, Trichinopoly Dt.

Sir

In continuation of Govt order
No. I am directed to return
herewith the general
power of attorney executed
by you in favour of Mr M.
Padmanabhachar B.A., B.L.
High Court Vakil and
filed by you on 4th Aug: 1913
in connection
with your claim against the
Zamindar of Jeyamkondam for

over.

Flag N

SUBJECT.

some arrears of annuity said
to be due to your deceased
mother

I have &c.

for Secretary to Govt

11/11/13

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by 14.11

GPE—20,000—12-5-10

9. No 2243 dated [redacted]/13
From Mr [redacted]nga Rao Peramya Esq
Jahgirdar of Yelandur
Submits his explanation and informs that there is nothing due to the petitioner

10. No J 3374 dated 6/8/13
To S. Rama Rao Esq
Informs that he should appear before Govt on the 26th May 1913 at 3 P.M. to shew cause why his petition should not be rejected as time barred.

11 No J 3560 dated 19/5/13
From S. Rama Rao Esq Andanevil
Informs that the hearing of the case stands adjourned from 26/5/13 to 23/6/13

12 No.— dated 23/6/1-
From C. Krishnamurthy Esq
Presents a Vakalatnama executed by Mr S. Rama Rao a yelandur Jahgir annuitant

13 No J 719 dated 8/7/13
To C. Krishnamurthy Esq
Informs him that the case of Mr S. Rama Rao of Adanevil has been posted for hearing on the 16th inst

14. Letter dated 28/7/13
From Mr C. Krishnamurthi, Advocate of Mr S. Rama Rao of Andan...
requesting that Mr Rama Rao's case may be placed again before the 1st committee on the 4th Aug: 1913

15 No J 347 dated 2/8/13
To C. Krishnamurthi Advocate Bangalore
Informs him that the case will be heard on 4/8/13 at 3 P.M.

16 No J 1240-1 dated 21/10/13
To S. Rama Rao Esq
Orders that as the Yelandur Jahgir Regn does not specially provide for limitation the Limitation Reg